

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/825,817 04/04/2001		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2927	
		04/04/2001	Shinichi Mori	3815/120		
22913	7590	11/30/2004		EXAMINER		
WORKMA	N NYDE	GGER (F/K/A W	NGUYEN, DUC M			
SEELEY)	a imia men	(D) E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

SEELEY)
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

2685
DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/825,81	7	MORI ET AL.					
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Duc M. Ng	uyen	2685					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED : THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply: If NO period for reply Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA by be available under the provisions of 37 form the mailing date of this communicates specified above is less than thirty (30) dates specified above, the maximum statutor the set or extended period for reply will, the Office later than three months after the University of the Set of Sec. 1,704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no eventation. ys, a reply within the statuy period will apply and with the statute, cause the apply	int, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status									
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this a	,—								
Disposition of Clain	าร								
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 7) ☑ Claim(s) 4 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers	12 is/are pending in the appliabove claim(s) 3 and 9 is/are is/are allowed. 2,5-8,11 and 12 is/are rejected and 10 is/are objected to. are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
i i	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or	declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	240)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) M Information Disclosu	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO ate 3/4/03, 10/17/03, 8/2/04)			atent Application (PT0	D-152)				

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's response filed on 7/12/04. Claims 1-12 are now pending in the present application.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II (claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 10-12) in Paper submitted on 7/12/04 is acknowledged. Accordingly, Claims 3, 9 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions.

An action for Group II (claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 10-12) follows.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references listed in the information disclosure statements submitted on 3/4/03, 10/17/03 and 8/2/04 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suda (US 6,741,667).

Regarding claim 1, Suda discloses a communication quality acquisition

Art Unit: 2685

apparatus comprising:

an acquisition means for receiving the CDMA pilot channels (see perch channel definition in col. 1, lines 15-33) sent from a plurality of wireless base stations through the use of spread (scrambling) signals different from each other (different scrambling codes) and acquiring delay profile based on said spread signals in said CDMA pilot channels (see Figs. 11-12, col. 10, lines 50-60 and col. 11, lines 18-25). Here, the "perch channel" would read on the "pilot channel", the scrambling code (i.e, long code and short code) would read on the spread signals as claimed; and

a storage means for storing the delay profile acquired by said acquisition means (inherently feature when generating a delay profile).

Regarding claim **2**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, **Suda** discloses a synchronization means for establishing synchronization based on said spread signals in said CDMA pilot channels (see scrambling timing corrector in Fig. 3 and col. 13, line 29 – col. 14, line 49);

a measurement means for acquiring delay profile by reverse spreading said spread signals in said CDMA pilot channels (see col. 12, lines 1-8 and col. 10, lines 50-60, noting that the correlation data comprising peaks would generate the delay profile as claimed, see col. 9, lines 25-30); and

a control means for controlling said synchronization means and measurement means (inherently feature in order for the device to perform the above processes)

Regarding claim **7**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 8, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **1-2**, **5-8**, **11-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yano** et al (US **6,754,255**) in view of **Suda** (US **6,741,667**).

Regarding claims **1-2**, **Yano** discloses a communication quality acquisition apparatus comprising :

a synchronization means for establishing synchronization based on spread signals in CDMA pilot channels (see Fig. 4 and col. 7, lines 1-15);

a measurement means for acquiring delay profile by reverse spreading said spread signals in said CDMA pilot channels (see **Fig. 4** and **col. 5**, **lines 50-63**); and a control means for controlling said synchronization means and measurement means (inherently feature in order for the device to perform the above processes)

However, **Yano** fails to disclose a plurality of pilot channels. However, it is noted that as suggested by Yano, the method is applicable to either a base station or a mobile terminal (see col. 9, lines 54-67), this implies that the method is also applicable to receiving a plurality of pilot channels as well (i.e, each base station receives a plurality of pilot channels from mobile terminals), in the similar way as disclosed by Suda (see

Art Unit: 2685

Fig. 3, col. 10, line 50-col. 12, line 33), wherein each mobile terminal receives a plurality of pilot channels from base stations. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teachings of Suda and Yano, for providing a method as claimed, so that synchronizations to signals received from a plurality of base stations can be achieved, for improving the system performance by properly synchronization to data transmissions.

Regarding claim **5**, it is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2 above. In addition, since the delay profile is obtained based on the despead signal after synchronization, it is clear that **Yano** would disclose the step of control the measurement means based on the acquired synchronization point information as claimed (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim **6**, it is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2 above. In addition, it is clear that **Yano** would disclose the synchronization is controlled based on the off-track (out of synchronism) acquisition as claimed (see col. 10, lines 1-28).

Regarding claims **7-8**, **11-12**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 1-2, 5-6 above, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2685

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claims 4, 10, the cited prior art fails to discloses or make it obvious an apparatus or method for providing synchronizations and acquiring delay profiles from a plurality of pilot channels which comprises steps as specified in the claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tsunehara et al (US Pat No. 6,484,034), Radio handset and position location system.

Kaku (US 5,812,593), De-spread code phase detection apparatus in spread spectrum type receiver.

Wantanabe (US 6,195,343), Spread spectrum communication system.

Hayashi et al (6,522,625), Radio communication apparatus and radio communication method.

Kim et al (US 6,571,099), Cell searching method in asynchronous wideband code division multiple access system.

Yamamoto et al (US 6,549,545), Pilot signal detection method and receiver.

Natali et al (US 5,696,762), Rapid acquisition access channel scheme for CDMA systems.

Ariyavisitakul et al (US 5,084,891), Technique for jointly performing bit synchronization and error detection in a TDM/TDMA system.

Art Unit: 2685

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry) (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thurday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Duc Nguyen

Nov 7, 2004